

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,548	BEENAU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel A. Hess.

(2) Mr. Carl Wischhusen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-46

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend each independent claim to clarify that the transaction device is 'translucent or transparent.' Also applicant agreed to cancel claim 34, which would not have been allowable.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)